



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/573,627 | 03/28/2006 | Heiko Roehm | 3582 | 5660 |

7590
Striker Striker & Stenby
103 East Neck Road
Huntington, NY 11743

06/09/2008

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

DIAO, M BAYE

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

2838

| | |
|-----------|---------------|
| MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|-----------|---------------|

06/09/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 10/573,627 | Applicant(s) ROEHM ET AL. | |
| | Examiner M'BAYE DIAO | Art Unit 2838 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) M'BAYE DIAO (PTO) M.D. (3) ILYA. ZBOROVSKY (Reg. # 28,563).
 (2) AKM ULLAH (PTO). (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 29 May 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Nottingham, Kilmer, and Chen.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argues that the combination of the three references does not still teach a charger shell comprising a detachably mounted bit holder. Examiner objected to some of the claims terms that need to be amended for him to consider Applicant's argument, and subsequently will update his search.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/M'baye Diao/
 Examiner, Art Unit 2838

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action. Examiner's signature, if required